A Multi-Satellite Mission to Illuminate the Earth: Formation Control Based on Impulsive Maneuvers

Shamil Biktimirov

Danil Ivanov Tagir Sadretdinov Basel Omran Dmitry Pritykin



Rome, Italy Jan 28-31 2020



5th IAA Conference on University Satellites Missions



History

The idea of a mirror in space to reflect sunlight and thus generate power and light on Earth was proposed in 1928 by Hermann Oberth who postulated a space-manufactured 5mm thick mirror using sodium for the reflective layer, orbiting Earth at 1000-5000 km altitude





The first practical step towards this end was the Russian Space Mirror Project "Znamya" launched in 1992 which was to illuminate high latitude Earth regions during winter months using 20 meters width reflector

Designing sunlight reflector

Requirements for image demonstration:

- Line of sight **POI** ↔ **Satellite** & **Sun** ↔ **Satellite**;
- The magnitude **m ~ -8.0** or brighter;
- The elevation angle of the Sun at the POI Υ < -5°;
- The angular distance between two adjacent satellites shall be greater than 1 arcminute [1];

Parametric model for sunlight reflector:

- Target orbit and POI on Earth;
- Reflector physical parameters;



1. Yanoff, Myron, and Jay S. Duker. "Opthalmology." (2009).



Spacecraft visibility

We define **spacecraft's visibility** in terms of magnitude:

m = -2.5 Log
$$\left(\frac{I}{I_{ref}}\right)$$
;

The intensity of the light at the POI is given by [2]:

$$I = \frac{I_0 A_r \rho \tau \cos(\gamma) \sin(\theta)}{4d^2 \left(\tan\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right)^2},$$

where atmospheric transmissivity τ is given by [2]:

$$\tau = 0.1283 + 0.7559e^{-0.3778 \sec(\pi/2 - \theta)}$$



Skoltech

Mission design

Time of demonstration: 30 Jan 2020, 17:54:00 Italy time (when formation is at zenith)

Name	Value	Reason	
Altitude	700 km	Lifetime	
Eccentricity	0	Circular	
Inclination	98.2°	SSO	
RAAN	43.5°	Line of nodes ⊥ to Sun direction	
ТА	42.2°	Flying over Rome	
Image size	6 km	Moon angular size	



Angular size of graphic image when is passes zenith $(\rho$ - angular size of the Moon)

Demonstration parameters



Target relative trajectories

• Hill-Clohessy-Wiltshire (HCW) equations for relative motion dynamics;

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x} + 2n\dot{z} = 0; \\ \ddot{y} + n^2 y = 0; \\ \ddot{z} - 2n\dot{x} - 3n^2 z = 0 \end{cases}$$

• Analytical solution to HCW equations in case of zero drift and shift along x-axis

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = C_1 \cos(nt + \alpha_0); \\ y(t) = C_2 \sin(nt + \alpha_0); \\ z(t) = \frac{C_1}{2} \sin(nt + \alpha_0). \end{cases}$$

• The constants corresponding to the motion of satellite along a circular orbit of radius *r* with respect to the orbital reference frame can be defined as follows:

$$C_1 = r$$
$$C_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}r$$



Orbital reference frame notation

- X along track
- Y normal to the orbital plane
- Z local vertical

Impulsive control

• Two-impulse scheme proposed by Vaddi at [3]:

$$dV_{1} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ v_{orb}\sqrt{\delta i^{2} + \delta \Omega^{2} sin^{2}(i)} \\ -v_{orb}\frac{\sqrt{\delta q_{1}^{2} + \delta q_{2}^{2}}}{2}; \\ -v_{orb}\frac{\sqrt{\delta q_{1}^{2} + \delta q_{2}^{2}}}{2}; \end{cases} dV_{2} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_{orb}\frac{\sqrt{\delta q_{1}^{2} + \delta - \frac{2}{2}}}{2}; \end{cases}$$

• Time of thruster firing:

$$t_1 = t_0 + \frac{2\pi - \alpha}{n};$$
 $t_2 = t_0 + \frac{2\pi - \alpha + \pi}{n};$

where α is the required phase in the circular orbit, n is the mean motion of reference point orbit;

Skoltech

{a, $q_1 = e^* cos(w)$, $q_2 = e^* sin(w)$, I, Ω , λ } – equinoctial orbital elements

3. Vaddi, S. S., et al. "Formation establishment and reconfiguration using impulsive control." *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics* 28.2 (2005): 262-268.



Two-impulse transfer from the origin of orbital reference frame to circular relative orbit of radius 3 km

Formation deployment

- Thirty one 12U CubeSats;
- Sunlight reflectors with 11 m² area;
- 700 km Sun-synchronous orbit;
- Point of interest Rome, Italy;
- Minimum angular interpixel distance > 1 arcminute;
- Circular target relative trajectories.



Formation deployment

Formation maintenance



Satellite position error

VACCO's Standard Micro Propulsion System as an example of cold-gas thruster, $I_{sp} = 40 \ s$

Requirements for corrections with different error thresholds, for 30 days

Acceptable error, %	Time between corrections, hours	Total ∆ <i>V</i> , m/s	Propellant mass, g
5	6.8	3	92
10	11.8	2	59
20	23.3	1.4	40

Conclusion

- Two-impulse control was implemented to **deploy** and **maintain** small satellite formation for graphic image demonstration in the sky;
- Proposed control method has the following advantages: short time of formation deployment and reconfiguration (up to 2 orbit periods), low propellant consumption for formation keeping (up to 100 grams for monthly consumption);
- Since we considered formation deployment and maintenance for orbital dynamics model taking into account only Earth oblateness (J2 effect) we should include in model other disturbances;
- The approach is planned to be applied to reconfigure the geometry of satellite formations to demonstrate a set of different graphic images.

Thank you for attention!

shamil.biktimirov@skoltech.ru

Design Considerations



Spacecraft: 12U CubeSat

- 3U packed reflector
- 3U thrusters
- 2U propellant
- 2U ADCS
- 1U OBC, telecom
- 1U batteries

Dimensions: 200 x 200 x 340 mm Mass: 18 kg Peak power consumption: ~ 40 W



Payload Size

